

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

PSs - School Education Allegation of corruption and criminal misconduct against Sri M.R.Prasanna Kumar, the then In charge Director of Examinations, O/o Director of Government Examinations, A.P., Hyderabad and 3 other Private persons - Disciplinary proceedings initiated - Enquiry Report submitted - Further action dropped - Orders - Issued.

SCHOOL EDUCATION [VIG] DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No.98

Dated:11.03.2024

Read the following:-

1. From the DG, ACB Lr.Rc. No.101/RCO-CIU/2016, dt. 25.05.2018.
2. Govt Memo No.319507/SE.Vig.I[1]/2016, dt.30.05.2020.
3. G.O.Rt. No.24, School Education [Vig] Dept., dt. 04.02.2022.
4. From the CSE Lr.Rc.No.ESE02-11/166/2019-EST1-CSE, dt:25.04.2022 along with the Written Statement of Defence dt. 30.03.2022 of Sri M.R Prasanna Kumar, the then Incharge Director of Examinations, O/o Director of Government Examinations.
5. G.O Rt.No.152, SE (Vig) Dept., dt:12.09.2022.
6. G.O Rt.No.154, SE (Vig) Dept., dt:14.09.2022.
7. From RP Thakur, IPS [Retd], Member, Commissionerate of Inquiries & Inquiring Authority D.O.Lr.No.61/COI-RPT/2023, dt:07.09.2022.
8. Govt Memo No.319507/SE.Vig.I[1]/2016, dt.05.02.2024.
9. From the CSE Lr.Rc.No.ESE02-11/166/2019-EST1-CSE, dt:25.02.2024 along with the Written Statement of Defense dt.22.02.2024 of Sri MR Prasanna Kumar, the then In charge Director of Examinations, O/o Director of Government Examinations.

ORDER:-

In the reference 3rd read above, the following articles of charge has been framed against Sri M.R.Prasanna Kumar, the then In charge Director of Examinations, O/o Director of Government Examinations, A.P., Hyderabad, for his, indulged in corrupt practices and collected bribes /illegal gratification by engaging unauthorized persons from the D.Ed (Diploma in Elementary Education) college Authorities:

Charge:

That Sri Menda Ratna Prasanna Kumar, In-charge Director of the Government Examinations, A.P., Hyderabad, indulged in corrupt practices and collected bribes /illegal gratification by engaging unauthorized persons on their willing, i.e., Sri Syed Rafiq Ahmed, Sri Ch.Ramarao and Sri P.Satyanarayana Raju on his behalf at his Office from the D.Ed (Diploma in Elementary Education) college Authorities, who are approaching the O/o Director of Government Examinations for getting verification of the eligibility of candidates who are admitted by the managements of private D.Ed colleges under left over seats, under convener and management quota seats without DEE CET for D.Ed course for the educational academic year 2015-16 and allowed the private persons Sri Syed Rafiq Ahmed and Sri Ch.Ramarao unauthorizedly into the Office premises and also into his chambers which gave room for committing the offense and that Sri Menda Ratna Prasanna Kumar deviated from the procedural rules in verification of the eligibility of candidates who were admitted by the managements of private D.Ed colleges under left over seats, under convener and management quota seats without DEE CET for D.Ed course for the educational, academic year 2015-16.

(Contd..2)

Thus Sri Menda Ratna Prasanna Kumar, In-charge Director of the Government Examinations, A.P., Hyderabad, by his above mentioned acts, has exhibited a lack of integrity, devotion to duty and conduct unbecoming of a Government Servant and thereby contravened Rule 3(1) and 3(2) of A.P. Civil Services (Conduct) Rules 1964.

2. In the reference 4th read above, the Charged Officer has submitted his Written Statement of Defence and denied the charge framed against him.
3. Government after examination of the defence statement of the Charged Officer, decided to get an inquiry conducted into the charge framed against the Charged Officer. Accordingly, in the references 5th & 6th read above, Sri R.P. Thakur, IPS (Retd.), Member, Commissioner of Inquiries, as Inquiring Authority and Sri V.N.Masthanaiah, Director (SIEMAT), O/o the SPD, Samagra Shiksha, as Presenting Officer to inquire into the charges framed against the C.O.
4. In the reference 7th read above, the Inquiring Authority has submitted inquiry report and has concluded that "In the result, the prosecution failed to prove the charge leveled against the C.O, the C.O is found not guilty of the charge framed against him. Hence, the charge can be held as not proved."
5. In the reference 8th read above, the inquiry report has been communicated to the Charged Officer for his written representation, if any, on the findings of the Inquiring Authority.
6. In the reference 9th read above, the Charged Officer has submitted his written representation on the findings of the Inquiring Authority and totally agreed with the final findings of the Inquiring Authority and requested to drop further action on the charges framed against him.
7. Government, after careful examination of the circumstances of the case and the written explanation submitted by the Charged Officer, hereby drop further action against Sri M.R.Prasanna Kumar, the then In charge Director of Examinations, O/o Director of Government Examinations and now working as Director, Public Libraries.
8. The Commissioner of School Education shall take further necessary action, accordingly, in the matter.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

PRAVEEN PRAKASH
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

The Individual through the Commissioner of School Education, A.P.

✓The Commissioner of School Education, AP [with a request to
Communicate this order to the individual]

Copy to:

The Director General, ACB, AP.

The APVC, AP Secretariat.

SF/SC.

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER //


SECTION OFFICER
P